## REVIEWER REPORT

## Paper No: Title of the paper:

Criteria for the paper evaluation	Points
(A, B, C, D, E, F)	
A Adequacy of the topic relating to the Journal's focus (max. 100 p)	
1 Does the paper follow the general focus of the Journal? 2 Does the paper's content cope with the selected column of the Journal?	
B Goal and methods of the paper (max. 100 p.)	
1 Does the paper explicitly involve the set goal? 2 Is its formulation clearly set? 3 Are the methods appropriately chosen and exactly described regarding the issue-to-be-solved?	
C Outputs achieved and discussion (max. 100 p.)	
1 Do the outputs cope with the set methods and do they meet the given goal? 2 Is the discussion logical enough regarding the issue-to-be-solved? 3 Do outputs and discussion prove the author's professional approach to the given topic? 4 Does the author express his ideas precisely? 5 Are professional terms adequately involved (and in adequate circumstances)?	
D Conclusions (max. 100 p.)	
1 Do the final outputs result from the paper? 2 Are they logically justified?	
E References, relevance regarding the issue-to-be- solved (max. 100 p.)	
1 Is the list of references relevant, adequate and up to date regarding the issue-to-be-solved?	
F Following of the ISO 690 and ISO 690-2 standards, pictures, tables and linguistic level (max. 100 p.)	
1 Does the author use particular sources in correct way within the limits of citation techniques used? 2 Are tables, pictures and graphs adequately marked? 3 Are pictures, graphs and tables adequately chosen? 4 Do stylistic and grammatical levels of the paper cope with a scientific article?	
SUMMARY (max. 600 p.)	

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Please, specify - to publish or not - the submitted paper in Lingua et Vita Journal X/X/XXXX.  If not, please specify the modifications required for eliminating the paper imperfections (regarding contents, linguistics, etc.)	
inguistics, etc.)	